

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,334	07/03/2003	Joseph Saladino	IOI-453	5122
45488	7590 07/15/2005		EXAMINER	
	S, MORGAN & AMERS	PREBILIC, PAUL B		
10333 RICH HOUSTON,	MOND, SUITE 1100 TX 77042		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11000101,			3738	

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				()				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/613,334		SALADINO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Paul B. Prel	oilic	3738				
The MAILING E Period for Reply	PATE of this communication	appears on the o	cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the se	TUTORY PERIOD FOR RE. OF THIS COMMUNICATIO vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR the mailing date of this communication. and above is less than thirty (30) days, a diffed above, the maximum statutory per to rextended period for reply will, by staffice later than three months after the maint. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no even reply within the statute riod will apply and will atute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comr D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status					,			
1) Responsive to o	communication(s) filed on 19	9 May 2005.			,			
2a)⊠ This action is FI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3) Since this applie								
closed in accord	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-24</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-35</u> 7)□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	are withdrawn fr	om consideration.	·				
Application Papers								
, — ,	n is objected to by the Exam							
10) The drawing(s) 1) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	t request that any objection to	= : :						
	wing sheet(s) including the cor aration is objected to by the							
•		Examinor. 1400			.02.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.								
a) All b) Son 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of application	at is made of a claim for fore me * c) None of: copies of the priority docum copies of the priority docum f the certified copies of the p on from the International Bur detailed Office action for a	ents have been ents have been priority documer reau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat its have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite	od (PTO-802)		4) ☐ Interview [·] Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date	atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. 	,	5)	Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species A (Figure 4) in the reply filed on May 19, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 8, 9, 15, and 16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on May 19, 2005.

In response to the argument that claims 8, 9, 15 and 16 remain not withdrawn even though they are drawn to a non-elected species, the Examiner asserts that since no generic or linking claim is allowable, that these claims should be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 30, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fallin (US 5,108,452). Fallin anticipates the claim language where the femoral head as claimed is met by head (27) of Fallin, the neck as claimed is met by neck (14), the stem as claimed is met by stem (13), and the spacer as claimed is met by extension members (45,50); see Figure 1-5 and 18 as well as column 6, line 13 to column 8, line 18.

With regard to claim 31, Applicant is directed to Figure 1 which discloses a plurality of spacers.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,334 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 30-32 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Subba Rao et al (US 2001/0051831). Subba Rao anticipates the claim language where the femoral head as claimed is met by the ball member (22) of Subba Rao, the neck as claimed is met by the spring mechanism and/or neck, and the spacer as claimed is met by coupling member (26); see Figures 1 to 4B and column 1, line 18 to column 4, line 22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fallin (US 5,108,452) alone. Fallin meets the claim language except fails to disclose the sizes of the spacers as required by the present claims. However, the mere recitation of a size or relative dimensions, where the device would not perform differently than the prior art, does not render the device patentable. In other words, the claimed sizes for spacers would have been *prima facie* obvious to an ordinary artisan. MPEP 2144.04 is incorporated herein by reference as follows:

In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-24 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action if the application is not stored in image format (i.e. the IFW system) or published.

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Paul B. Prebilic whose telephone number is (571) 272-4758. He can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott Corrine can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Prebilic Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738